Conceptualizing Work within the Capability Approach

Thematic Panel - Reasons to value work - instrumental or intrinsic to wellbeing?

Nicolai Suppa University of Barcelona

Annual Meeting of the HDCA 2024 Kolkata, India

Objectives

Conceptualization of work within the capability approach (CA) —at the most general level: the approach itself.

- permit to **inform plurality of exercises** one could do within CA (guidance on operationalization)
 - **comprehensive assessment** of well-being (WB) and agency
 - in-depth study of **individual dimensions** in specific contexts
 - both theoretical and empirical work
- 2 applicable to all forms of work and across time and space
 - employed, self-employed, voluntary worker; formal and informal work
 - ► farmers, fishers, and pastoralist, etc (incl. self-sufficient persons)
 - miners, assembly line workers, sweatshop workers
 - raftsmen, mechanics, clerks, managers, engineers, lawyers, etc
 - carer, nurses, teacher and domestic worker
 - child labour, forced labour, slavery

Work can be seen as a **multi-purpose mean** to achieve wellbeing:

- jobs are seen as **specific configurations of job characteristics** (external to the individual), e.g.,
 - exposure to hazardous substances
 - types and intensity of physical activities
 - contractual aspects (dismissal protection)
 - contribution to social security
 - degree of responsibility and decision autonomy
- key **functionings** in the context of work:
 - ► health (physically and mentally)
 - agency (possibly beyond well-being)
 - political participation (different levels, incl. local organisation)
 - social participation
 - appearing in public w/o shame
 - respecting yourself
- How job characteristics are transformed into WB is governed by individual, social and environmental conversion factors.

Selected advantages

- Points explicitly to the role of social conversion factors, including social and legal normal which govern the impact on well-being.
- Suggests potentially relevant functionings and job characteristics ('capability lists' and 'list of job characteristics')
- Permits a mismatch between job and job holder ('Human beings are thoroughly diverse.' (Sen 1992, p.1))
- Helps to organise and collate evidence across disciplines, time and space.
- Clearly distinguishes between characteristics pertaining to the job and their impact on human well-being (manifold and heterogeneous).
- Explicitly permits to study situations of people holding several jobs
- Cautions against too **simplistic evaluation exercises** of jobs (purpose of the study, relevant dimensions,...), points to relevance of context, and permits to study **more complex cases**, such as child labour.

Evaluation: job quality vs well-being

- measuring and analysing job quality is highly policy-relevant
- job characteristics: favourable or adverse for human well-being
- identify 'bad jobs' (= multiple adverse JC)
 - e.g., none of the available JC supports social and political participation
 - e.g., social contacts and relation with colleagues (if any); works council, unions and other participation in decisions at the workplace
- conclusions w.r.t. job quality justified
 - job fails to support (or prevents) both social and political participation
 - legitimate concern and target for public policy
- conclusion w.r.t. well-being potentially hasty
 - job holder falls short in political and social participation
 - other means to achieve WB include (i) secondary jobs, (ii) voluntary work activities (incl., parties, NGOs, etc.), (iii) leisure activities
- → need to argue or assume alternative means to be irrelevant to bridge the gap between job quality and wellbeing assessment.

The underlying paper is

 Suppa, N. (2019): Work and wellbeing: A conceptual proposal. OPHI Working Paper 131, University of Oxford.